

3

c. filed

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. NO. 3123/2004 S.B.

(DATE OF PRESENTATION 8.09.2004)

PETITIONER

: LALITI RAM NISHAD,
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
SON OF KAPILRAM NISHAD,
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - MUDAINA
TAHSIL AND DISTRICT - MAHASAMUND (C.G.)


V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS

- : (1) STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
THROUGH - SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND MINERALS
D.K.S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR (C.G.)
- (2) THE COLLECTOR,
MAHASAMUND (C.G.)
- (3) RAJESHWAR SINGH THAKUR
S/O. KAMALDEV SINGH THAKUR,
R/O. VILLAGES - MUDAINA,
TAHSIL & DISTRICT - MAHASAMUND(C.G.)

P.R. No. 3118/04
Presented by Shri. M. K. Bhadani
dated 8-9-04

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT(S) IN THE NATURE OF
MANDAMUS, DIRECTIONS(S) AND ORDERS TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.



भविष्य

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. NO. 3123/2004

सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>4-11-2004</u> Shri Malay Kumar Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents No. 1 and 2. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer that respondent No.2 be directed to consider and decide the representation made by the petitioner regarding the cancellation of quarry lease dated 13-12-2001 (Annexure-P/2) granted in favour of respondent No.3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondent No.2 granted lease in question in favour of respondent No.3 in violation of Madhya Pradesh Minor Mineral Rules, 1996. Aggrieved by that, the petitioner made a representation in the year 2002, but respondent No.2 is not deciding his representation. He further submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation before respondent No.2 to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a fresh representation within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order and respondent No.2 is directed	

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP NO. 3123/2004
सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	to decide the representation of the petitioner challenging the lease granted in favour of respondent No.3 in an objective manner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation considering all the points and grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with Madhya Pradesh Minor Mineral Rules, 1996. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2722/2004 and I.A. Nos.6672/2004 & 6673/2004 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge

Soma/Barve

[पीछे देखिये]